

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,180	03/15/2004	John R. Prybella	1611/A43	3208
41883	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
HAEMONETICS CORPORATION			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
400 WOOD I BRAINTREI	ROAD E, MA 02184-9114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2101111111	,		2856	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\wedge
	Office Antice Commons	10/801,180	PRYBELLA ET AL.	₹ %
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert R. Raevis	2856	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 March 2005.		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	·	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-42 and 45-76</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>53-59,61,62,65</u> Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-14,16-39,41,42,45-51 and</u> Claim(s) <u>15,40,52,60,63</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>66</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	,67,68 and 75 is/are withdrawn ad 76 is/are allowed.	from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers	• .		
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		,	
10)🕱	The drawing(s) filed on 3/14/57s/are: a)			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	.ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected.	·		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

.

Page 2

Claims 15,40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

DETAILED ACTION

As to claim 15, isn't the "a gas vent" the same vent as in claim 1? Is Applicant claiming the same vent twice? (Double Inclusion)

As to claim 40, isn't the "a gas vent" the same vent as in claim 23? Is Applicant claiming the same vent twice? (Double Inclusion)

As to claim 59, "55" should read –58--. After all, otherwise "applying heat" would lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 70, this claim is mixing features of two embodiments. Should "69" read –55--?

Claims 52,60,63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunkin et al.

Hunkin teaches a method to take a sample, including (Figure 1): introducing fluid through a first port (lowermost portion of passage 21 as viewed in Figure 1) from a source; allowing the fluid to flow from the port towards a vented (via valve 16) sampling chamber while preventing backflow via valve 19, and withdrawing fluid from a second port (either the uppermost portion of passage 21 as viewed in Figure 1, or even the same lowermost portion of the passage 21).

Hunkin refers to a desire to obtain an "uncontaminated" (col. 3, lines 53-54) sample.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

As to claim 52, it would have been obvious to employ a sterile chamber for sampling in Hunkin to assure obtaining an uncontaminated sample because Hunkin teaches the need to obtain an "uncontaminated" sample for a representative sample.

As to claim 63, the first liquid to enter the chamber causes gas to vent.

Claim 66 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 1, note was made of "the gas vent for venting gas displaced by the sample" (italics added, last two lines) with remaining claim limitations, as placing Robbin's membrane-type cap on either Pratt of Hunkin's samplers may provide for a vent, but not such ("venting gas displaced by the sample") a vent. In effect, the claimed system is limited to such that allows for performance of the claimed functions (eg. "for allowing fluid to flow" (on line 4), "for withdrawing fluid from" (on line 3 from last)) with the "gas vent with a filter" (line 2 form last) in place in the system.

As to claims 23 and 76, note was made of "the gas vent for venting gas displaced by the sample" (italics added, last two lines) with remaining claim limitations.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/801,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROUS